

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,113	09/26/2001	Peter Yau Tak Lin	8258X	3953
27752	7590 04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	CTER & GAMBLE CO	TRAN LIEN, THUY		
	ILL TECHNICAL CEN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENT	ER HILL AVENUE	1761		
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224	B. MB. 1. 1. BB. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$I_{I} \sim M$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/965,113	LIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lien T. Tran	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reproportion of the provision of the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire StX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	April 2005.				
		is action is non-final.				
3)						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5,7-12 and 38-41 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5, 7-12 and 38-41 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
· <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	• • •	• • •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the Copies of	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age		
			·			
Attachmen		∧ , □	C.,			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6 Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-1:	52)		

Application/Control Number: 09/965,113

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiden et al.

Seiden et al disclose a emulsifier system comprising fatty acid monodiglycerides, 0-60% fatty acid esters of polyols and fatty acid mono-glyceride esters of polycarboxylic acids. The polyols are polyglycerols. The fatty acid esters of polyols have an average of from 4-14 hydroxyl groups wherein from about 10-66% of the hydroxyl groups are esterifed and at lest about 65% of the fatty acids are selected from the group consisting of C14-C20 saturated fatty acids. Polyol fatty acid ester made from palmitic and stearic acids are preferred for use. The polyglycerol esters must have an average of from about 2-12 glycerol units. The percentage of hydroxyl groups esterified with fatty acid is from about 10-66%. The polyglycerol esters contain less than 15% free polyglycerol. The emulsifier system also contain lecithin. (see col. 4 lines 26-68, col. 5 lines 1-16, and 49-50)

Seiden et al do not disclose the amount of polyglycerol ester claimed.

In absence of showing unexpected result or criticality, it would have obvious to vary the amounts of the components in the emulsifier system depending on the product the emulsifier will be used. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to determine through routine experimentation the amounts of each component of the emulsifier system which will give the most optimum properties to the product.

Optimization is within the skill of one in the art. Applicant has not established unexpected result with the claimed amount. The specification discloses that the amount of polyglycerol ester varies from 40-99%.

Claims 1 and 38, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gruning et al.

Gruning et al disclose emulsifier comprising polyglycerol partial esters fatty acids. The esters are obtained by esterification of a polyglycerol mixture with fatty acids having 12-22 carbons; the degree of esterification is between 30-75%. Suitable polyglycerols have the following oligomer distribution: glycerol 0-30% by weight, diglycerol 15-40% by weight, triglycerol 10-55% by weight, tetraglycerol 2-25% by weight and pentaglycerol and higher components 0-15% by weight. (see col. 2 lines 13-23, col. 3 lines 25-30)

The reference discloses the limitations of the above claims. Claim 1 recites 100% polyglycerol ester which is the emulsifier disclosed by Gruning et al. language of the claims does not exclude the esterification with polyfunctional carboxylic acids.

Claims 39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over.

Grunning et al teach esterifying with fatty acids and the degree of esterification is within the range claimed. They do not disclose the specific amount of particular fatty acid. The specific amount of particular fatty acid can vary; it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to vary the selection of the fatty acid depending on the type of fatty acids wanted in a particular product. It would have been within the skill of one in the art to determine the amount which would give the most optimum functionality.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,113

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien T Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1408. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 18, 2005

LIEN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Group 1700)